arXiv:cond-mat/9908140v2 [cond-mat.supr-con] 11 Apr 2000

# Topological Aspects of Spin Pairing in High-$T_c$ Superconductivity and Berry Phase

**D.Pal** [1] and **B.Basu**[2]
**Physics and Applied Mathematics Unit**
**Indian Statistical Institute**
**Calcutta-700035**

## Abstract

From topological viewpoint we have analysed the role of Berry phase in spin pairing mechanism of high $T_c$ superconducting ground state.

Difficulty of achieving a high transition temperature for the high temperature superconductors which are doped Mott insulators via the conventional mechanism leads to a different approach towards pairing. Any theory of high temperature superconductivity should satisfy the phenomenological constraint - the order parameter has charge 2e [1] i.e. there is some kind of pairing. It is difficult to overcome the poor screening of the Coulomb interaction of the doped Mott insulators because of less effectiveness of retardation with the increment of the pairing energy. In general the normal state has shown peculiar properties in both charge and spin channels. In transport aspect, the linear temperature dependence of resistivity in a long range of temperature 10K to 1000K, 1/T dependence and hole like charge carriers [2] of Hall coefficient, $T^{-4}$ temperature dependence of magneto-resistance, monotonic decrease and even sign changing of thermopower with increase of doping [3] are anomalous with regard to the canonical phenomena in conventional Fermi liquid (FL) system. Spin magnetic properties have also exhibited a number of anomalies. Two powerful probes of spin dynamics in the cuprates - nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) [4] and neutron scattering [5] are inconsistent in antiferromagnetic (AF) fluctuation case. More generally, the conventional view of superconductivity as a Fermi surface instability resulting from an attractive interaction between quasiparticles is inapplicable, since according to analyses of resistivity [6] and ARPES (angle resolved photoemission spectra) data [7,8] there are no well defined quasiparticles or Fermi surface in the normal state of high temperature superconductors. ARPES experiments which show that the chemical potential is near the centre of the bare hole band, rule out real-space pairing, which is implausible for d-wave superconductor with a strong and poorly screened coulomb repulsion between electrons. In this note we have investigated the relation of the antiferromagnetic insulating phase and the superconducting phase in terms of Berry phase and hencce showed the importance of this factor in spin pairing of the superconducting state.

---

[1] e-mail : res9719@www.isical.ac.in

[2] e-mail : banasri@www.isical.ac.in

For topological analysis of superconductivity let us consider a 2D lattice system on the surface of a 3D sphere in an anisotropic space with large radius. The antiferromagnetism may be characterised by strong spin fluctuation in 2D which enables to consider the system an anisotropic space. We may assume that this 2D lattice system is the CuO layer which plays a major role in the theory of high $T_c$ supereconductivity of cuprate materials. In a 3D anisotropic space, we can construct the spherical harmonics $Y_l^{m,\mu}$ with $l = 1/2$, $|m| = |\mu| = 1/2$ when the angular momentum relation is given by [9]

$$\vec{J} = \vec{r} \times \vec{p} - \mu \vec{r} \tag{1}$$

where $\mu$ can take the values as $0, \pm 1/2, \pm 1, \pm 3/2, \ldots$ This is similar to the angular momentum relation in the case when a charged particle moves in the field of a magnetic monopole. Fierz [10] and Hurst [11] have studied the spherical harmonics $Y_l^{m,\mu}$. Following them we can write

$$Y_l^{m,\mu} = (1+x)^{-\frac{(m-\mu)}{2}} (1-x)^{\frac{-(m+\mu)}{2}} . \frac{d^{l-m}}{d^{l-m}x} \left[ (1+x)^{l-\mu} (1-x)^{l+\mu} \right] e^{im\phi} \, e^{-i\mu\chi} \tag{2}$$

where $x = cos\theta$ and the quantity m and $\mu$ just represent the eigenvalues of the operator $i\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}$ and $i\frac{\partial}{\partial\chi}$ respectively. It is noted that apart from the usual angles $\theta$ and $\phi$, we have an extra angle $\chi$ denoting the rotational orientation around a specified fixed axis attached to a space-time point $x_\mu$ giving rise to an anisotropy in the space-time manifold [12]. The fact that in such an anisotropic space the angular momentum can take the value 1/2 is found to be analogous to the result that a monopole charged particle composite representing a dyon satisfying the condition $e\mu = 1/2$ has its angular momentum shifted by 1/2 unit and its statistics shifted accordingly [13]. This suggests that a fermion can be viewed as a scalar particle moving with $l = 1/2$ in an anisotropic space. The specification of the $l_z$-value for the particle and antiparticle states then depicts it as a chiral spinor. This may be associated with a spin system when electrons are polarized in one or the other direction.

Now we note that when the angle $\chi$ depicting the rotational orientation around the direction vector $\xi_\mu$ attached to the space-time point $x_\mu$ is gradually changed over the closed path $0 \leq \chi \leq 2\pi$ it gives rise to the phase factor $\mu$ in the wave function. Indeed, the angular part associated with the angle $\chi$ in the spherical harmonics $Y_l^{m,\mu}$ is given by $e^{i\mu\chi}$ where we have

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial\chi}e^{-i\mu\chi} = \mu e^{-i\mu\chi} \tag{3}$$

Now when $\chi$ is changed to $\chi + \delta\chi$, we find

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial(\chi+\delta\chi)}e^{-i\mu\chi} = i\frac{\partial}{\partial(\chi+\delta\chi)}e^{-i\mu(\chi+\delta\chi)}\ e^{i\mu\delta\chi} \tag{4}$$

Thus the wave function will acquire an extra topological phase factor $e^{i\mu\delta\chi}$ when the angle $\chi$ is changed over the closed path $0 \leq \chi \leq 2\pi$. For one such complete rotation, the wave function will acquire the phase

$$e^{i\mu\int_0^{2\pi}\delta\chi} = e^{i2\pi\mu} \tag{5}$$

Thus for a closed parameter space we have the extra phase factor $e^{i2\pi\mu}$ which represents the Berry phase [14]. The Berry phase aquired by such a particle is given by

$$e^{i\phi_B} = e^{i\mu\int_0^{2\pi}d\chi} = e^{i2\pi\mu}$$

where

$$\phi_B = 2\pi\mu \tag{6}$$

It may be noted here that the Berry phase is associated with the chiral anomaly which is caused by quantum mechanical symmetry breaking when a chiral current interacts with a gauge field [15]. Indeed, the divergence of the axial vector current in the quantum mechanical case does not vanish and is associated with the topological quantity known as the Pontryagin index through the relation

$$q \;=\; -\frac{1}{16\pi^2}\int Tr^{*}F_{\mu\nu}\;F_{\mu\nu}\;d^4x \;=\; \int \partial_\mu J^2_\mu d^4x \;=\; -\frac{1}{2}\int \partial_\mu\; J^5_\mu\; d^4x \qquad (7)$$

where $J^5_\mu$ is the axial vector current and $q$ is the Pontryagin index. The Pontryagin index associated with the integral of the chiral anomaly is related to the Berry phase which arises when a quantum particle described by a parameter dependent Hamiltonian moves in a closed path. Indeed, the Berry phase acquired by such a particle is given by $e^{i\phi_B}$ where

$$\phi_B \;=\; 2\pi\mu \;=\; \pi q \qquad (8)$$

with the relation $q \;=\; 2\mu$ [16]. The interction of this axial vector current $J^5_\mu$ with the non-Abelian $SL(2,c)$ gauge field $B_\mu$, residing on the lattice link is well known [17].

It is well known that antiferromagnetism is characterised by strong spin fluctuation in 2D which causes this strongly correlated electronic system a parity violated ground state known as *commensurate flux state* or *chiral spin state*. In a recent paper [18] the topological analysis of Heisenberg antiferromagnet on a lattice we have associated the Berry phase with the chirality of the fermions. The anisotropic antiferromagnetic Heisenberg system can be represented by a Hamiltonian

$$H \;=\; J\sum\;(\;S^x_iS^x_j \;+\; S^y_iS^y_j \;+\; \Delta\;S^z_iS^z_j) \qquad (9)$$

(with $i$ and $j$ as two-dimensional index) where $J \;>\; 0$ and $\Delta \;\geq\; 0$. $\Delta$, the anisotropic parameter is shown to be $\Delta \;=\; \frac{2\mu+1}{2}$ where $\mu$ is related to the Berry phase factor. It may be mentioned here that the relationship of the anisotropic parameter $\Delta$ with the Berry phase factor $\mu$ has been formulated from an analysis of the relationship between conformal field theory in 1+1 dimension, Chern-Simon theory in 2+1 dimension and chiral anomaly in 3+1 dimension. It is noted that for $\mu = 1/2$ when $\Delta \;=\; 1$ one has the antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model. Thus in this methodology the antiferromagnetic commensurate flux state will correspond to the Berry phase factor $\mu = 1/2$ and the corresponding phase will be given by

$$\phi_B \;=\; 2\pi\mu \;=\; \pi \qquad , \qquad (10)$$

The parity and time reversal symmetry is spontaneously broken in this two-dimensional correlated electronic system . This leads to an eqivalent picture of this commensurate flux state before doping with the state of the chiral fermions as discussed.

Let us now consider the state after doping. Due to a hole doping the Berry phase factor related to antiferromagnetic system will be changed. Doping of a hole will introduce an extra $\mu = 1/2$ value to the total topological phase. As a result the total Berry phase will be given by

$$\phi'_B \ = \ 2\pi\mu' \ = \ 2\pi(1/2 \pm 1/2) = either\ 0\ or\ 2\pi. \tag{11}$$

This doped state is a superconducting ground state which can be assured from topological view point. This state corresponds to the Berry phase factor $\mu' = 0\ or\ 1$. Regarding the parity and time reversal symmetry we observe that it is restored in this doped system. We can refer to this change as a *topological phase transition* where the Berry phase factor $\mu = 1/2$ is changed to $\mu' = 0\ or\ 1$. In this connection we should mention here the analysis of Weigmann [19] where he arrived at the same result in a different way.

Doping gives rise to superconducting phase coexisting with a spin glass phase [20] which arises due to frustration of the spin system. In general the motion of a single hole in an antiferromagnet is frustrated because it stirs up the spins and creates strings of broken bonds (magnetically disordered possibly in ferromagnetic phase). This idea is supported by ARPES experiment [7,8] which found that the bandwidth of a single hole is controlled by the exchange integral $J$, rather than the hopping amplitude t [21]. This frustration gives rise to a kinetic driving force for electronic phase separation causing spin-charge separation. In this connection the review of Emery and Kivelson [22] may be mentioned. This analysis helps us to write the doped antiferromagnetic system as a composite one which consists of (i) a bosonic system of spinless charges (holons) and a chargeless spin (spinon) system.

One thing to be mentioned here that the spin-charge separation state will satisfy an important criteria that the transverse gauge fluctuation will be found suppressed in the long wavelength and low energy regime so that spinon and holon are deconfined at finite doping (i,e, spin-charge separation). At half filling limit, a long range AF order can be recovered in 2D and at finite doping, the gauge fluctuation is shown to be suppressed so that one has a real spin-charge separation. But there are still residual interactions between spinon and holon, and spinon can always feel the existence of holon nonlocally (by seeing the flux quanta bound to holon) through a gauge $A_\mu$. So we may consider an interaction between real scalar field $\phi_n$ (for holon) and chiral spinor field $\psi_n$ (for spinon) which are taken to transform under a rigid (global) gauge transformtion. This interaction vanishes in 1D which we shall show in a different note and so we may say that real spin-charge separation is visualised.

On account of the spin charge separation we may consider that the Berry phase factor $\mu = 0 \; or \; 1$ to be concentrated on the spinon system. There is no contribution of the topological phase factor $\mu$ to the holons in this superconducting system. It is to be noted that after doping superconducting system acquires Berry phase due to unbalanced spin precession in the antiferromagnetic system.

Now from the angular momentum relation of 2D lattice on 3D sphere of an anisotropic space

$$\vec{J} = \vec{r} \times \vec{p} - \mu \vec{r}$$

for $|\mu| = 0 \; or \; 1$ (or any integer) we can use a transformation which effectively suggests that we can have a dynamical relation of the form

$$\vec{J} = \vec{r} \times \vec{p} - \mu \vec{r} = \vec{r'} \times \vec{p'} \tag{12}$$

This equation indicates that the Berry phase which is associated with the integral value of $\mu$ may be unitarily removed to the dynamical phase. However, to observe the effect of the Berry phase, we can split the state into a pair of spinons, each with the constraint of representing the state $\mu = \pm 1/2$. Now $\mu = 0 \; or \; 1$ is achieved for a pair of spinons each having $\mu = \pm 1/2$. As the factor $\mu$ is associated with chiral anomaly we may associate a spinon with the axial vector current

$$J_\mu^5 = \bar{\psi} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \psi$$

. So in our framework we may represent the spinon spinon interaction by the interction of the axial vector current $J_\mu^5$ in eqn (7) through a gauge field $B_\mu$ which will reside on the lattice link. This justifies the spin pairing of the superconducting state. The spin pairs are in a coherent phase state as they correspond to the same phase and high temperature superconductivity is established by the motion of these coherent pairs which accounts for the high transition temperature of the cuprates.

Previously [23] we have shown that the FQH state with $\nu = 1/2$ corresponds to the Berry phase factor $\mu = 1$. So topologically we can propose an equivalent picture of superconducting state and $\nu = 1/2$ FQH state. In a recent publication [24] we have analysed the equivalence of RVB states with $\nu = 1/2$ FQH states in terms of the Berry phase and showed that the topological mechanism of superconductivity is analogous to the topological aspects of fractional quantum Hall effect with $\nu = 1/2$. This state is characterised by a flux $\phi_0 = \frac{hc}{2e}$ which enables our theory to satisfy with one experimental constraint- the order parameter with charge 2e. This effective charge does not arise from the pairing of nearest neighbour holons. We believe that these holons are transported by scattering which arises due to the interacting gauge between spinon and holon. Thus the high $T_c$ superconductivity can be naturally viewed by inplane kinetic mechanism. Finally we may mention here that this analysis will be valid for a 3D antiferromagnetic lattice system too and as such this topological superconductivity is just not restricted to a 2D system but is also feasible in 3D system also. Detailed investigation along this line will be pursued later on.

**Acknowledgement** : Authors are highly grateful to Prof. P.Bandyopadhyay for helpful discussions. One of the authors (D.P.) is also grateful to the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) for a financial grant supporting this research.

## References

1. C.E. Gough et al., Nature, **326**, (1987), 855.
2. N.P. Ong, in *Physical Properties of High Temperature Superconductors* edited by P.M. Ginzberg, (World Scientific 1992), vol.3, 159.
3. A.B. Kaiser and C. Uher in *Studies of High Temperature Superconductors*, edited by A. Narlikar, (Nora Science Publishers, New York 1991).

4. C.H. Pennington and C.P. Slichter, in *Physical Properties of High Temperature Superconductors* edited by P.M. Ginzberg, (World Scientific 1992), vol.2, 269.
5. R.J. Birgeneau G.Shirane, in *Physical Properties of High Temperature Superconductors* edited by P.M. Ginzberg, (World Scientific 1992), vol.1, 151.
6. V.J.Emery and S.A.Kivelson, Phys.Rev.Lett., **74**, (1995), 3253.
7. J.C.Campuzano et al., Phys.Rev., **B53**, (1996), R14737.
8. (a) C.G.Olson et al., Science, **245**, (1989), 731; Physica **C162 – 164**, (1989), 1697; Phys.Rev.Lett., **B42**, (1990), 381;

   (b) Z.-X.Shen et al.,Phys.Rev.Lett.,**70**, (1993), 1553;

   (c)H.Ding et al.,Phys.Rev. **B54**,(1996), R9678.
9. B. Basu and P. Bandyopadhyay, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **B, 12** (1998), 2649.
10. M. Fierz, Helv. Phys. Acta. **17** (1944), 27.
11. C.A. Hurst, Ann. Phys. **50** (1968), 51.
12. (a)B. Basu, Mod. Phys. Lett. **B, 6** (1992), 1601.

    (b)P. Bandyopadhyay, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A4** (1989), 4449.
13. F.D.M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51** (1983), 605.
14. D. Banerjee and P. Bandyopadhyay, J. Math. Phys. **33** (1992), 990.
15. B. Basu and P. Bandyopadhyay, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **B, 11** (1997), 2707.
16. D. Banerjee and P. Bandyopadhyay, Mod. Phys. Lett. **B, 8** (1994), 1643.
17. A.Roy and P. Bandyopadhyay, J. Math. Phys. **30**, (1989), 2366.
18. P. Bandyopadhyay, Conformal Field Theory, Quantum Group and Berry Phase Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A**(accepted for publication).

19. P.B.Wiegmann, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, (1990), 2070.

20. (a)A.Weidinger et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, (1989), 102.

    (b) Ch. Niedermayer et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, (1998), 3843.

21. B.O. Wells et al., Science **277**, (1997), 1067.

22. S.A. Kivelson and V.J. Emery in *Strongly Correlated Electronic Materials* : *The Los Alamos Symposium* 1993 edited by K.S.Bedell et al, (Addision-Wesley, Reading Massachusetts, 1994), 619.

23. B. Basu, D. Banerjee and P. Bandyopadhyay, Phys. Lett. **A**, **236** (1997), 125.

24. B. Basu, D.Pal and P. Bandyopadhyay, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **B**, **13** (1999), 3393.